To: CN=Bob Sussman/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Fred

Hauchman/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Lek Kadeli/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jim Martin/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Fred Hauchman/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Lek Kadeli/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jim Martin/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Lek Kadeli/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jim Martin/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jim Martin/OU=R8/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

Cc: CN=Brendan Gilfillan/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Glenn

Paulson/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Glenn Paulson/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]

From: CN=Ramona Trovato/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Mon 5/21/2012 11:30:10 PM Subject: Re: Pavillion Peer Review

Bob.

I would rely on Fred too.

Ramona

---- Original Message -----From: Bob Sussman

Sent: 05/21/2012 06:25 PM EDT

To: Fred Hauchman; Ramona Trovato; Lek Kadeli; Jim Martin

Cc: Brendan Gilfillan; Glenn Paulson Subject: Pavillion Peer Review

John Corra of Wyoming DEP called me to discuss the conflict of interest questionnaire that we are using to vet candidates for the peer review panel on the Pavillion study. He said that some of the questions on the financial holdings of spouses and siblings seemed very broad, particularly in light of the statement in the questionnaire that information provided would be disclosed under FOIA. John wanted to know whether these are standard questions or whether we are seeking more detail in connection with this peer review process. He also wanted to know whether there was any flexibility in answering these questions.

I told John I would look into his issues and get back to him. Can you give me your thoughts on what to say to John? I may ask Fred or someone else from ORD to respond to him directly..

Robert M. Sussman Senior Policy Counsel to the Administrator Office of the Administrator US Environmental Protection Agency